arXiv:1204.3408v3 [astro-ph.SR] 23 Jul 2012

Influence of temperature fluctuations on continuum spectra of cosmic objects

N. A. Silant'ev[1], G. A. Alekseeva[1] & V. V. Novikov[1]

Main (Pulkovo) Astronomical Observatory of Russian Academy of Sciences,
Pulkovskoe shosse 65, St.- Petersburg, 196140, Russia

`nsilant@bk.ru`

ABSTRACT

The presence of convective and turbulent motions, and the evolution of magnetic fields give rise to existence of temperature fluctuations in stellar atmospheres, active galactic nuclei and other cosmic objects. We observe the time and surface averaged radiation fluxes from these objects. These fluxes depend on both the mean temperature and averaged temperature fluctuations. The usual photosphere models do not take into account the temperature fluctuations and use only the distribution of the mean temperature into surface layers of stars. We investigate how the temperature fluctuations change the spectra in continuum assuming that the degree of fluctuations (the ratio of mean temperature fluctuation to the mean temperature) is small. We suggest the procedure of calculation of continuum spectra, which takes into account the temperature fluctuations. As a first step one uses the usual model of a photosphere without fluctuations. The observed spectrum is presented as a part depending on mean temperature and the additional part proportional to quadratic value of fluctuation degree. It is shown that for some forms of absorption factor the additional part in Wien's region of spectrum can be evaluated directly from observed spectrum. This part depends on the first and second wavelength derivatives, which can be calculated numerically from the observed spectrum. Our estimates show that the temperature dependence of absorption factors is very important by calculation of continuum spectra corrections. As the examples we present the estimates for a few stars from Pulkovo spectrophotometric catalog and for the Sun. The influence of temperature fluctuations on color indices of observed cosmic objects is also investigated.

Subject headings: Radiative transfer, turbulence, temperature fluctuations; Stars: atmospheres, color indices; Active galactic nuclei

1. Introduction

From investigation of the Sun (see Stix 1991) we know that the photosphere has chaotic changes of the temperature. They are due to convective and turbulent motions, and the existence of inhomogeneous magnetic fields, which are the specific examples of stochastic media. Recall that stochastic behavior of gas motions arises due to many types of instabilities occurring in these media. Most important is the convective instability which results in the star cells of rising and descending motions of hot and cold gas, correspondingly. For the Sun the ratio of mean temperature fluctuations T' to the mean temperature $\langle T\rangle \equiv T_0$ is equal to $\eta = \sqrt{\langle T'^2\rangle}/T_0 \approx 0.03$. It appears this estimation is minimal because the solar spots are not taken into account. No doubt, the temperature fluctuations exist in many stars, not only in the Sun. The Sun is the only star which can be observed detailed in every point of the surface. Further we restrict ourselves by consideration of point-like cosmic objects. Apparently the most high fluctuations exist in stars with the profound convective zone and in active galactic nuclei.

In stochastic media all the values - the temperature $T = \langle T\rangle + T'$, the radiation intensity $I = \langle I\rangle + I'$, the absorbtion coefficient $\alpha_\lambda = \langle\alpha_\lambda\rangle + \alpha'_\lambda$ and so on, are stochastic, i.e. are characterized by its mean values, for example, $\langle T\rangle \equiv T_0$ and by fluctuations T'. The mean value of fluctuations are equal to zero (for example, $\langle T'\rangle = 0$). The mean values are determined by the average over ensemble of realizations during the time of observation. The chaotic events in space and time with characteristic life-time greater than the observation time are taken into account in the mean values (see, in more detail, Levshakov & Kegel 1997). From the stars and other point-like cosmic objects we observe the mean radiative flux $\langle H\rangle$. We stress that the influence of temperature fluctuations on the spectra is pure statistical effect, depending on the specific ensemble of temperature realizations in space and time, and on the time of observation. It is known that the averaged spectra of stars, even neighboring in spectral classes, frequently differ one another (see catalog Alekseeva et al. 1996). The possible reasons may be different, and the influence of temperature fluctuations, without doubt, is one of the most important between them.

In present paper we investigate the influence of temperature fluctuations on the continuum spectra of various cosmic objects. The preliminary consideration of this subject is given in the paper (Silant'ev & Alexeeva 2008). Their paper deals only with investigation of effective thermal sources in stochastic media $S_\lambda = \langle\alpha_\lambda(T)B_\lambda(T)\rangle$ as compared with the usual sources, $\alpha_\lambda(T_0)B_\lambda(T_0)$, taken without the influence of temperature fluctuations.

Here $B_\lambda(T)$ is the intensity of Planck's radiation. It was shown that the source S_λ can fairly strong differ from $\alpha_\lambda(T_0)B_\lambda(T_0)$, especially at high value of parameter $h\nu/kT_0$. The value S_λ can be both higher than $\alpha_\lambda(T_0)B_\lambda(T_0)$ and lower one, depending on specific form of absorbtion coefficient $\alpha_\lambda(T)$. Below we will obtain the formula for corrections to observed radiation flux, which arises due to existence of temperature fluctuations.

Before start a detail consideration let us show in a simple example of averaging over two temperature realizations ($T = T_0 + T'$ and $T = T_0 - T'$), how arises this statistical effect. The average value of Planck's function (in the Wien limit) is equal to:

$$\langle B_\lambda(T)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5}\right)\left\{\exp\left[-\left(\frac{h\nu}{k(T_0+T')}\right)\right]+\right.$$

$$\left.\exp\left[-\left(\frac{h\nu}{k(T_0-T')}\right)\right]\right\} \simeq$$

$$B_\lambda(T_0)\cosh\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT_0}\cdot\frac{T'}{T_0}\right) \geq B_\lambda(T_0).$$

Here we used the condition $T'/T_0 \ll 1$.

It is seen that the observing averaged value $\langle B_\lambda(T)\rangle$ is higher than $B_\lambda(T_0)$. At $T'/T_0 = 0.05$ and $h\nu/kT_0 = 10$ this growing consists of 13%. At the Rayleigh limit ($h\nu/kT \ll 1$) the Planck function $B_\lambda \sim T$ and $\langle B_\lambda\rangle = B_\lambda(T_0)$. For intermediate cases one has $\langle B_\lambda(T)\rangle > B_\lambda(T_0)$.

The analogous calculations demonstrate that the mean value of absorbtion factor $\langle\alpha_\lambda(T)\rangle$ can be both higher than $\alpha_\lambda(T_0)$ and lower than this value, depending on the specific form of $\alpha_\lambda(T)$. Joint consideration of Planck function and absorbtion coefficient can give rise both to positive and negative additional contribution to the usual spectra, depending on the mean temperature T_0.

Below we investigate the problem how the temperature fluctuations change the continuum spectra of cosmic objects in detail. For a number of stars and wavelengths we derived numerically the corresponding corrections to the spectra, depending on the degree of temperature fluctuations. For the cases, when the main contribution is due to bound-free transitions in negative hydrogen ions, we suggest the new techique to calculate the corrections to the spectra directly from the shape of observing spectra, without using the results of any photosphere models. Note that we consider a degree of temperature fluctuations η as a given parameter. The inverse problem - the estimation of η from observed spectra is not considered in our paper. We hope investigate this difficult problem in the future.

2. Basic formulas

The radiation flux in continuum $H_\lambda^{(0)}$ is related with the Planck function B_λ as follows (see Sobolev 1969):

$$H_\lambda^{(0)} = 2\pi \int_0^1 d\mu\mu I_\lambda(0,\mu) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda \int_0^1 d\mu e^{-\tau_\lambda/\mu} B_\lambda(T_0(\tau_\lambda)) =$$

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda E_2(\tau_\lambda) B_\lambda(T_0(\tau_\lambda)). \qquad (1)$$

Recall the notion of functions $E_n(\tau)$ (see Sobolev 1969; Gray 1976):

$$E_n(\tau) = \int_0^1 d\mu\mu^{n-2} e^{-\tau/\mu} = \int_1^\infty \frac{dx}{x^n} e^{-\tau x}. \qquad (2)$$

The functions $E_n(\tau)$ obey the relations:

$$nE_{n+1}(\tau) = e^{-\tau} - \tau E_n(\tau),$$

$$\frac{dE_n(\tau)}{d\tau} = -E_{n-1}(\tau). \qquad (3)$$

At high τ one exists $E_n(\tau) \to \exp(-\tau)/\tau$. The figures $E_1(\tau), E_2(\tau)$ and $E_3(\tau)$, for example, are given in (Gray 1976).

In Eq.(1) the mean temperature T_0 is considered as known function of the optical depth τ_λ. To find the relation between the mean temperature T_0 and optical depth τ_λ is the main task at construction of photosphere models. Formula (1) does not take into account the influence of temperature fluctuations.

In the paper (Silant'ev 2005) the radiative transfer equation for mean intensity $\langle I_\lambda \rangle \equiv I_\lambda^{(0)}(\tau_\lambda, \mu)$ was derived. This equation has the effective source S_λ, which was investigated by (Silant'ev & Alexeeva 2008). The sign $\langle\,\rangle$ in radiative transfer equation (4) means the averaging over ensemble of various realizations along the wave path, i.e. one takes into account the local temperature fluctuations.

In LTE approximation the transfer equation for $I_\lambda^{(0)}(\tau_\lambda, \mu)$ acquires the form:

$$(\mathbf{n}\nabla) I_\lambda^{(0)} = -\langle \alpha_\lambda \rangle I_\lambda^{(0)} + S_\lambda(T_0, \eta), \qquad (4)$$

$$S_\lambda(T_0, \eta) = \langle \alpha_\lambda(T) B_\lambda(T) \rangle. \qquad (5)$$

As a result of averaging, all the values acquire the dependence on the degree of temperature fluctuations:

$$\eta = \frac{\sqrt{\langle T'^2 \rangle}}{T_0}. \tag{6}$$

The fluctuations T' have the local character. Thus, in the case of the Sun they describes the difference between hot and cold parts of granula. The transfer equation (4) is stationary and differs from the usual transfer equation only by the feature that the temperature fluctuations are taken into account in absorbtion coefficient $\alpha_\lambda(T) = \alpha_\lambda(T_0 + T')$. Usually in photosphere models one assumes that all physical values are distributed sperically symmetric. We assume the same for temperature fluctuations, i.e. the degree of fluctuations η is independent of the place in visual semisphere of a star.

According to (Silant'ev 2005), more exact expression takes into account the correlation length R of temperature fluctuations, i.e. instead of term $\langle \alpha_\lambda \rangle$ one uses the expression $\langle \alpha_\lambda \rangle \cdot (1 + \langle (\alpha')^2 \rangle / (\langle \alpha \rangle)^2 \cdot \tau_\lambda)$. Here $\tau_\lambda = \langle \alpha_\lambda \rangle \cdot R$ is the mean optical depth of characteristic length of a turbulence R. Usually one exists $\tau_\lambda << 1$, i.e. the additional term is of the third degree of smallness and can be omitted. Such case takes place for solar granulations with $R \simeq 1000$ km in the region of wavelength, where the basic absorbtion is determined by negative hydrogen ion.

From Eqs. (4) and (5) one follows the expression for observed radiation flux $\langle H_\lambda \rangle$, which takes into consideration the influence of temperature fluctuations:

$$\langle H_\lambda(\eta) \rangle = 2\pi \int_0^1 d\mu \mu I_\lambda^{(0)}(0, \mu) =$$

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty d\langle \tau_\lambda \rangle E_2(\langle \tau_\lambda \rangle) \frac{\langle \alpha_\lambda(T) B_\lambda(T) \rangle}{\langle \alpha_\lambda(T) \rangle}. \tag{7}$$

Mean values $\langle \alpha_\lambda(T) \rangle$ are derived by the gaussian formula (see Silant'ev & Alekseeva 2008):

$$\langle \alpha_\lambda(T) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\eta} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\eta^2}\right) \alpha_\lambda(T_0 \cdot (1 + x)). \tag{8}$$

This expression is valid at $\eta \leq 0.2$.

When the fluctuations are absent, $\eta = 0$, formula (7) reverts to usual expression (1) for $H_\lambda^{(0)}$, where $d\tau_\lambda = \alpha_\lambda(T_0) dz$ and $T_0 \equiv T_0(\tau_\lambda)$. For brevity we will use simply T_0. Recall that the radiation flux without consideration of fluctuations we denote as $H_\lambda^{(0)}$. The value $\langle \alpha_\lambda(T) B_\lambda(T) \rangle$ is calculated from Eq.(8) analogously.

Our next task is to relate the observing flux $\langle H_\lambda(\eta) \rangle$ with the $H_\lambda^{(0)}$, i.e. to show how the small temperature fluctuations change the continuum spectra. As an initial approximation we take the expression $H_\lambda^{(0)}$, and then we will seek the corrections to this spectrum proportional to η^2. In section 4 we will consider this problem in Wien's region of spectrum, and for such temperatures and wavelengths where the main absorbtion is due to bound-free transitions of outer electron in negative hydrogen ion. In this case the flux $\langle H \rangle$ can be found by numerical differentiation of observed spectra over wavelength λ.

The values of fluctuation degree η are determined by physical conditions in every specific object. We assume η as a known parameter and derive the formula for estimates of spectra corrections due to temperature fluctuations for a number of objects, where absorbtion coefficient deals with bound-free transitions in the negative hydrogen ions. Of course, it would be very interesting and important to derive the technique how to estimate the parameter η from the analysis of observed spectra. It appears this complex task may be resolved by statistical comparison of objects with very close physical conditions. In this paper we do not consider this **difficult** problem.

3. Relation of flux $\langle H_\lambda(\eta) \rangle$ with $H_\lambda^{(0)}$

Assuming that the temperature fluctuations are low ($\eta^2 \ll 1$), let us use in Eq.(8) the expansion series:

$$\alpha_\lambda(T_0 \cdot (1+x)) = \alpha_\lambda(T_0)(1 + b_\lambda(T_0)x + a_\lambda(T_0)x^2 + ...), \tag{9}$$

and take into mind that $\langle x \rangle = 0$ and $\langle x^2 \rangle = \eta^2$. Dimensionless parameters $b_\lambda(T_0)$ and $a_\lambda(T_0)$ have the forms:

$$b_\lambda(T_0) = \frac{T_0}{\alpha_\lambda(T_0)} \frac{\partial \alpha_\lambda(T_0)}{\partial T_0},$$

$$a_\lambda(T_0) = \frac{T_0^2}{2\alpha_\lambda(T_0)} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_\lambda(T_0)}{\partial T_0^2}. \tag{10}$$

Thus, the mean absorbtion factor acquires the form:

$$\langle \alpha_\lambda \rangle = \alpha_\lambda(T_0)(1 + a_\lambda(T_0)\,\eta^2). \tag{11}$$

The optical depth $\langle\tau_\lambda\rangle$ in expression (7) is calculated according to formula:

$$\langle\tau_\lambda\rangle = \int_0^z dz\,\langle\alpha_\lambda(z)\rangle = \tau_\lambda + \eta^2 \int_0^{\tau_\lambda} d\tau'_\lambda\, a_\lambda(T'_0), \tag{12}$$

where we use the same notion $d\tau_\lambda = \alpha_\lambda(T_0(z))dz$, as in calculation of the flux $H_\lambda^{(0)}$ (see Eq. (1)).

Calculating by analogy the value $\langle\alpha_\lambda(T)B_\lambda(T)\rangle$, we obtain from Eq. (7) the following expression for observed flux $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$:

$$\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle = H_\lambda^{(0)} +$$

$$2\pi\eta^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda\, E_2(\tau_\lambda)\left[b_\lambda(T_0)\,T_0\,\frac{\partial B_\lambda(T_0)}{\partial T_0} + \frac{1}{2}\,T_0^2\,\frac{\partial^2 B_\lambda(T_0)}{\partial T_0^2}\right] + A_\lambda, \tag{13}$$

$$A_\lambda = 2\pi\eta^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda\, B_\lambda(T_0)\left[E_2(\tau_\lambda)\,a_\lambda(T_0) - E_1(\tau_\lambda)\int_0^{\tau_\lambda} d\tau'_\lambda\, a_\lambda(T'_0)\right]. \tag{14}$$

The value $T'_0 \equiv T_0(\tau'_\lambda)$. The negative term with $a_\lambda(T'_0)$ in Eq.(14) arises from the expansion of the exponent $\exp(-\langle\tau_\lambda\rangle)$ (see formula (12)) in power series with parameter η^2.

Using the known photosphere models, i.e. the dependence between T_0 and optical depth τ_λ, one can calculate the right part of Eq.(13) and obtain the flux $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$, corresponding to level of temperature fluctuation η. The second and third terms in Eq. (13) characterize correction to model spectrum $H_\lambda^{(0)}$ due to temperature fluctuations. In principle, the condition of the best coincidence of expression $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$ with observed flux allows us to estimate parameter η in the atmosphere of a cosmic object. The influence of fluctuations is most strong in the region of short waves. It appears we have to became the best coincidence just in this wave region. Taking different values for parameter η, one can obtain more satisfactory coincidence of flux $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$ with the observed spectrum than that for model case $H_\lambda^{(0)}$.

The authors are not specialists in the model construction activity. Therefore we restrict ourselves by the estimate of difference $(\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle - H_\lambda^{(0)})/H_\lambda^{(0)}$ only for a number of Sun-like stars and the Sun for wavelengths where main contribution to opacity gives the bound-free electron transitions in negative hydrogen ions H^-. These estimates follow directly from observed spectra (see catalog Alekseeva et al. 1996). For these wavelengths we can substitute the temperature derivatives by numerical derivatives over wavelengths in observed spectra (the results are presented in Tables 2 and 3).

First we yield this substitution for Plank's function $B_\lambda(T_0)$. Then such substitution will be made for some types of absorbtion coefficients. Note that not all types of $\alpha(T_0)$ allow us such substitution. Finally, we will consider the spectrum in Wien's region, simultaneously using the specific form of bound-free transitions in negative hydrogen ion H^- for those cases, where this absorbtion plays the dominant role.

3.1. Substitution temperature derivatives by wavelength derivatives

The Planck function has the following form:

$$B_\lambda(T) = \frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5} f(\lambda T), \quad f(\lambda T) = \frac{1}{e^{g_0/\lambda T} - 1},$$

$$\frac{g_0}{\lambda T} \equiv \frac{h\nu}{kT} = \frac{14388}{\lambda T}. \tag{15}$$

Here and what follows the wavelengths always are taken in microns. The function $f(\lambda T)$, depending on the product λ and T, obeys the relations:

$$\lambda \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = T \frac{\partial f}{\partial T}, \quad \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \lambda^2} = T^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial T^2}. \tag{16}$$

These relations allow us to substitute the derivatives over temperature by the derivatives over wavelength. The corresponding formulas are the follows:

$$\frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial T} = \frac{1}{T}\left(5B_\lambda + \lambda \frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda}\right),$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 B_\lambda}{\partial T^2} = \frac{1}{T^2}\left(20B_\lambda + 10\lambda \frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} + \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda^2}\right). \tag{17}$$

Absorbtion coefficients α_λ in continuum spectra often have the vast regions of smooth dependence on the wavelength (see Gray 1976). In these regions they can be approximated by power law $\alpha_\lambda \simeq \alpha(T)\lambda^n$. Then the values $b_\lambda(T_0)$ and $a_\lambda(T_0)$ (see Eq.(10)) are independent of λ: $b_\lambda(T_0) = b(T_0)$ and $a_\lambda(T_0) = a(T_0)$. In some cases the absorbtion coefficient has the form of product $\alpha_0(\lambda)$ and $\alpha_1(T)$, i.e. $\alpha_\lambda(T) = \alpha_0(\lambda)\alpha_1(T)$. Very important example of such dependence is the case of bound-free transitions in negative hydrogen ion H^- (see in more detail below). In such cases the values $a_\lambda(T)$ and $b_\lambda(T)$ also are independent of wavelength.

Using the relations (17), Eq.(13) can be written in the form:

$$\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle = H_\lambda^{(0)} +$$

$$2\pi\eta^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda\, E_2(\tau_\lambda) \left(10B_\lambda + 5\lambda \frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda^2} \right) +$$
$$2\pi\eta^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda\, E_2(\tau_\lambda) b(T_0) \left(5B_\lambda(T_0) + \lambda \frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} \right) + A_\lambda. \qquad (18)$$

The second term in the right part of this expression describes the contribution of temperature fluctuation to the spectrum due to influence of Planck's function, i.e. this is the contribution of value $\langle B_\lambda \rangle$. The estimate of this contribution from model spectra, for example, from models of (Kurucz et al. 1974), is of interest for knowledge of influence of temperature fluctuations on continuum spectra. Beforehand, note that the contribution of $\langle B_\lambda \rangle$ is smaller than that of term with $b(T_0)$.

Because τ_λ and $E_2(\tau_\lambda)$ depend on wavelength λ, the λ - differentiation cannot be taken out the integral in Eq.(18). But for absorbtion coefficients, mentioned above, exist the relations:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} = \beta(\lambda)\alpha_\lambda, \;\; \frac{\partial \tau_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} = \beta(\lambda)\tau_\lambda,$$
$$\beta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\alpha_0(\lambda)} \frac{\partial \alpha_0(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda},$$

which lead to the following formulas:

$$2\pi\lambda \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda\, E_2(\tau_\lambda) \frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} = \lambda \frac{\partial H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} - \lambda\beta(\lambda) T_\lambda, \qquad (19)$$

$$T_\lambda = 2H_\lambda^{(0)} - 2\pi I_\lambda^{(0)}(0,1) = 4\pi \int_0^1 d\mu\, \mu [I_\lambda^{(0)}(0,\mu) - I_\lambda^{(0)}(0,1)], \qquad (20)$$

$$2\pi\lambda^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda E_2(\tau_\lambda) \frac{\partial^2 B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda^2} = \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda^2} - \lambda^2 \frac{\partial \beta(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} T_\lambda$$
$$- 2\lambda^2 \beta(\lambda) \frac{\partial T_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} + \lambda^2 \beta^2(\lambda) M_\lambda. \qquad (21)$$

When deriving these formulas, we used Eqs.(1) and (3). The values $\beta(\lambda)$ and $\partial\beta/\partial\lambda$ for negative hydrogen ion are presented in Table 1. They were calculated numerically from figure for α_λ, given in (Gray 1976).

Function T_λ depends on the flux of outgoing radiation $H_\lambda^{(0)}$ and the intensity of radiation $I_\lambda^{(0)}(0,1)$ escaping perpendicular to the surface. Note that due to the law of radiation darkening ($I_\lambda^{(0)}(0,\mu) < I_\lambda^{(0)}(0,1)$), the function T_λ is negative. This function can be calculated from a model of photosphere. Here we will use the simplest model, assuming that the function $B_\lambda(\tau_\lambda)$ increases linearly with τ_λ. This model is presented in a number of books (see, for example, Sobolev 1969).

Below we restrict ourselves by the Wien limit of a spectrum, when the parameter $g_0/\lambda T >> 1$. In this case simple model, mentioned above, gives rise to the relations:

$$T_\lambda \simeq -H_\lambda^{(0)},$$

$$M_\lambda = 2T_\lambda + 2\pi \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda B_\lambda(T_0)(\tau_\lambda - 1)\exp(-\tau_\lambda) \simeq H_\lambda^{(0)}. \qquad (22)$$

According to formulas (19) and (21), contribution of $\langle B_\lambda(\tau_\lambda)\rangle$ into $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$ acquires the form:

$$\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle = H_\lambda^{(0)} + \eta^2 \left[\left(10H_\lambda^{(0)} + 5\lambda \frac{\partial H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial\lambda^2} \right) - \right.$$
$$\left. \lambda^2\beta(\lambda)\frac{\partial T_\lambda}{\partial\lambda} - \left(5\lambda\beta(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\frac{\partial\beta(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda}\right) T_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\beta^2(\lambda)M_\lambda \right]. \qquad (23)$$

This formula depends on the specific form of absorbtion coefficient. Because of the difference $\langle B_\lambda(T_0,\eta)\rangle - B_\lambda(T_0)$ is positive and slowly decreases with grow of wavelength, then the term $\langle B_\lambda\rangle$ at η^2 gives the positive contribution to observed spectrum $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$. Yet the contribution of term $b_\lambda(T_0)$, in principle, can exceed the contribution from $\langle B_\lambda(T_0)\rangle$, and, in particular, gives rise to negative correction to $\langle H_\lambda\rangle$.

3.2. Estimate of expressions with $a_\lambda(T_0)$

The term A_λ in formula (14) describes the change of spectrum as a result of difference between $\langle\alpha_\lambda\rangle$ and $\alpha_\lambda(T_0)$ (see formula (11)). Negative part of A_λ presents the decrease of flux due to additional term with η^2 in $\exp(-\langle\tau_\lambda\rangle)$ (see (12)). The positive part describes the increasing of flux due to effective source $\langle\alpha_\lambda B_\lambda\rangle$. The difference of these terms is lower than every separate term.

It is of interest to estimate the contribution of term A_λ to expressions (13) and (18). Let us replace the terms $a_\lambda(T_0)$ and $a_\lambda(T_0')$ by effective mean value $\overline{a}_\lambda$, taken at the level

$\tau_\lambda \simeq 2/3$, where is located the main source of radiation. As a result, one can obtain the expression:

$$A_\lambda \simeq 2\pi\eta^2\overline{a}_\lambda \int_0^\infty d\tau_\lambda \left(2E_2(\tau_\lambda) - e^{-\tau_\lambda}\right) B_\lambda(T_0) =$$

$$-2\pi\eta^2\overline{a}_\lambda \int_0^\infty d\tau\lambda\tau_\lambda E_2(\tau_\lambda)\frac{\partial B_\lambda(T_0)}{\partial\tau_\lambda} \equiv$$

$$\eta^2\overline{a}_\lambda T_\lambda \simeq -\eta^2\overline{a}_\lambda\delta_\lambda H_\lambda^{(0)} \tag{24}$$

This expression is less than the term $10H_\lambda^{(0)}$ in Eq. (23) if the value $\overline{a}_\lambda$ is less or of the order of unity. In these cases the term A_λ can be neglected. The coefficient δ_λ, according to approximate Sobolev's (1969) formula, is estimated as the ratio of the mean absorbtion factor over all spectrum to the local coefficient α_λ. In the case of absorbtion by negative hydrogen ions H^- the Chandrasekhar's estimation (see Chandrasekhar 1950) gives the value $\delta_\lambda \simeq 0.26$. This gives the estimation $A_\lambda \simeq (1 \div 2)H_\lambda^{(0)}$, which is less than the term $10H_\lambda^{(0)}$ in formula (23).

4. Estimates in Wien's limit

The case $g_0/(\lambda T_0) = 14388/(\lambda T_0) \gg 1$ corresponds to Wien's limit of thermal emission. Recall that at the maximum of Planck's emission $\lambda_{max}T = 2900$. This gives $g_0/(\lambda_{max}T) \simeq 5$, i.e. the Wien approximation $B_\lambda(T) = (2hc^2/\lambda^5)\exp\left(-g_0/\lambda T\right)$ exists at least up to maximum of spectrum. The relative deviation from Planck's formula at maximum of emission consists of 0.7 %. At $g_0/\lambda T = 3.6$ this deviation is $\approx 2.8\,\%$, that corresponds to the value $\lambda(\mu m)T \simeq 4000$.

This means that the Wien limit occurs practically up to $\lambda = 0.5\ \mu m$ at $T = 8000$ K, and up to $\lambda \simeq 1\ \mu m$ at $T \simeq 8000$ K. Note that in this temperature interval the absorbtion coefficient in continuum, basically, is due to bound-free transitions of outer electron in negative hydrogen ion H^- (see Chandrasekhar 1950). Below we will give the formulas of this case, in detail. In Wien's limit the Einshtein-Milne correction due to stimulated emission (the factor $(1 - \exp\left(-g_0/\lambda T\right))$ is low and the formulas for absorbtion coefficient (see Gray 1976) become simpler.

For the absorbtion factors of the type

$$\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_0(\lambda)T^\gamma e^{-g_1/T} \tag{25}$$

dimensionless coefficients $b(T)$ and $a(T)$, according to Eq. (10), acquire the form:

$$b(T) = \gamma + \frac{g_1}{T},$$

$$a(T) = \frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)}{2} + \frac{(\gamma-1)g_1}{T} + \frac{g_1^2}{2T^2}. \tag{26}$$

Formula (25) is valid for the description bound-free transitions in H^-, where $\gamma = -1.5$ and $g_1 = -8700$ (if the wavelengths are in microns).

The coefficients $b(T)$ and $a(T)$ obey power-law dependence on the temperature. Therefore in Wien's approximation Eq.(18) (without the term A_λ) can be simplified if we transform the terms of type $B_\lambda(T_0)/T_0^n$ to expressions with the differentiation over wavelength. For this aim we can use the following equalities (introducing the notion $f_1(\lambda T) = \exp(-g_1/\lambda T)$):

$$\frac{f_1(\lambda T)}{T} = \frac{\lambda^2}{g_1}\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \lambda}, \quad \frac{f_1(\lambda T)}{T^2} = \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{g_1}\right)^2 \left[\frac{2}{\lambda}\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial \lambda^2}\right]. \tag{27}$$

Taking into account, that in Wien's approximation $B_\lambda \sim \lambda^{-5}\exp(-g_0/\lambda T)$, we derive the relations:

$$T\frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial T} = \frac{g_0}{\lambda T}B_\lambda, \quad \frac{B_\lambda}{T} = \frac{\lambda}{g_0}\left(5B_\lambda + \lambda\frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda}\right),$$

$$\frac{B_\lambda}{T^2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{g_0^2}\left[30B_\lambda + 12\lambda\frac{\partial B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} + \lambda^2\frac{\partial^2 B_\lambda}{\partial \lambda^2}\right]. \tag{28}$$

Using these relations, and Eqs. (19) and (21), we derive Eq. (18) (without the term proportional to $a(T)$) in Wien' approximation:

$$\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle = H_\lambda^{(0)} +$$

$$\eta^2\left[C_0(\lambda)H_\lambda^{(0)} + D_0(\lambda)T_\lambda + G_0(\lambda)M_\lambda + C_1(\lambda)\lambda\frac{\partial H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} + \right.$$

$$\left. D_1(\lambda)\,\lambda\frac{\partial T_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} + C_2(\lambda)\,\lambda^2\frac{\partial^2 H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda^2}\right]. \tag{29}$$

$$C_0(\lambda) = 10 + 5\gamma + 30\xi, \quad C_1(\lambda) = 5 + \gamma + 12\xi,$$

$$C_2(\lambda) = (0.5 + \xi),$$

$$D_0(\lambda) = -\lambda\beta(\lambda)C_1 - \lambda^2 \frac{\partial\beta(\lambda)}{\partial\lambda} C_2,$$

$$G_0(\lambda) = \lambda^2\beta^2(\lambda)C_2, \quad D_1(\lambda) = -2\lambda\beta(\lambda)C_2. \tag{30}$$

Here we use the dimensionless values $\xi = g_1\lambda/g_0$ with $g_0 = 14388$. For the case $\alpha_\lambda(H^-)$ one has $\gamma = -3/2$, $g_1 = -8700$, $g_1/g_0 = -0.6$ and $\xi = -0.6\lambda$. As it was mentioned earlier, we can neglect the term A_λ if the absorbtion in negative hydrogen ions are considered. According to (Chandrasekhar 1950; Sobolev 1969) this case is valid for the solar type stars with $T_e < 7 \cdot 10^3$ K.

In Table 2 we present the corrections to $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$ for a number of solar type stars (β Hyi (G2IV), μ Vel (G5III), ι Per (G0V)) and the Sun, using the catalog (Alekseeva et al. 1996). The corrections were calculated for $\lambda = 0.55\mu$m and 0.6 μm. For these wavelengths one can neglect the contribution of free-free transitions in H^-. Note that the columns with positive numbers in Table 2 refer to $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$, and the columns with negative numbers present the values Φ_λ. The notions of these values are given below.

Formula (29), with taking into account Eq.(22), acquires the form:

$$\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle \equiv H_\lambda^{(0)}(1 + \eta^2\Phi_\lambda) = H_\lambda^{(0)} + \eta^2 \Big\{ \Phi^{(0)} H_\lambda^{(0)} +$$

$$A_0 H_\lambda^{(0)} + A_1 \lambda \frac{\partial H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial\lambda} + \xi\lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial\lambda^2} \Big\}, \tag{31}$$

where we introduce the notion $\beta' = \partial\beta/\partial\lambda$, and the factors A_0 and A_1 have the following form:

$$A_0 = (5 + \lambda\beta)\gamma + \xi(30 + 12\lambda\beta + \lambda^2(\beta^2 + \beta')),$$

$$A_1 = \gamma + \xi(12 + 2\lambda\beta). \tag{32}$$

The term $\Phi^{(0)} H_\lambda^{(0)}$ coincides with that in square brackets in Eq. (23), if the relations (22) are used. This term has the form:

$$\Phi_\lambda^{(0)} H_\lambda^{(0)} = \Big[[10 + 5\lambda\beta + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(\beta^2 + \beta')] H_\lambda^{(0)}$$

$$+(5+\lambda\beta)\lambda\frac{\partial H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial\lambda}+\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial\lambda^2}\Bigg]. \tag{33}$$

Recall that this term presents contribution of $\langle B_\lambda\rangle$.

4.1. Results of calculations and the discussions

Usually the star fluxes are presented in logarithmic scale $\lg H_\lambda$ or in star magnitudes $m_\lambda = -2.5\lg H_\lambda$. Our formulas have the derivatives $\partial H_\lambda/\partial\lambda$ and $\partial^2 H_\lambda/\partial\lambda^2$. Differentiation of the relation $\ln H_\lambda = \ln 10\,\lg H_\lambda$ gives rise to expressions:

$$\frac{\partial H_\lambda}{\partial\lambda} = H_\lambda \ln 10\,\frac{\partial \lg H_\lambda}{\partial\lambda} = -H_\lambda\,\frac{\ln 10}{2.5}\,\frac{\partial m_\lambda}{\partial\lambda}, \tag{34}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 H_\lambda}{\partial\lambda^2} = H_\lambda\left[\ln 10\,\frac{\partial^2 \lg H_\lambda}{\partial\lambda^2}+\left(\ln 10\,\frac{\partial \lg H_\lambda}{\partial\lambda}\right)^2\right] =$$

$$H_\lambda\left[\left(\frac{\ln 10}{2.5}\,\frac{\partial m_\lambda}{\partial\lambda}\right)^2-\frac{\ln 10}{2.5}\,\frac{\partial^2 m_\lambda}{\partial\lambda^2}\right]. \tag{35}$$

These formulas show that the derivatives are proportional to H_λ. It means that the expression (31) may be presented in the form:

$$\frac{\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle - H_\lambda^{(0)}}{H_\lambda^{(0)}} \equiv \frac{\Delta H_\lambda}{H_\lambda^{(0)}} = \eta^2\Phi_\lambda, \tag{36}$$

The value ΔH_λ can be presented as a corresponding change ΔT (see Sobolev 1969). As a result, we derive the estimate:

$$\Delta T \simeq (2.8\div 4)\left(\frac{g_0}{\lambda T_0}\right)^{-1}\eta^2\Phi_\lambda T_0, \tag{37}$$

Instead of T_0 in such estimates one may be taken the effective temperature T_e in the regions, where $B_\lambda(T_e)$ satisfactory describes the spectrum. Recall that in Wien's region even low temperature changes ΔT give rise to fairly high changes of fluxes.

Let us present the explicit formula for function Φ_λ, if the absorbtion coefficient has form (25). This formula follows directly from Eqs. (31) - (35):

$$\Phi_\lambda = 10 + 5\lambda\beta + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}(\beta^2 + \beta') + A_0 +$$
$$(5 + \lambda\beta + A_1)\lambda \ln 10 \, \frac{\partial \lg H_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} +$$
$$(\xi + 0.5)\,\lambda^2 \left[\ln 10 \, \frac{\partial^2 \lg H_\lambda}{\partial \lambda^2} + \left(\ln 10 \, \frac{\partial \lg H_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} \right)^2 \right]. \tag{38}$$

First of all, we obtain the part of expression Φ_λ, which is due to contribution of mean Planck's function $\langle B_\lambda \rangle$. This expression can be obtained from Eq. (38), where terms with γ and ξ have to be omitted. Let us denote this expression as $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$. To calculate this term one can use the model spectra, or use the observed spectra $\langle H_\lambda(\eta) \rangle$, assuming that at low values η exists approximate equality $\langle H_\lambda(\eta) \rangle \simeq H_\lambda^{(0)}$.

As it was mentioned earlier, the spectra depend strongly on the contribution of the coefficient $b_\lambda(T_0)$ (see Eq. (10)), which describe the change of effective source $\langle \alpha_\lambda B_\lambda \rangle$ due to fluctuations of first temperature derivatives in absorbtion factor $\alpha_\lambda(T)$ and in Planck's function $B_\lambda(T)$. As a role, the first derivatives characterize the changes most sensitively than the second ones. The contribution of second order derivatives give rise to less contribution to changes in spectra. For this reason, the calculation of $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$ is useful for comparison with basic terms proportional to mean value of the product of first order derivatives.

It is of interest, that calculations, presented in Table 2, show that the terms with β and β' give comparatively low contribution to Φ_λ , less than $(2 \div 5)\%$ compared with other terms. Note, that this low contribution is not the consequence of smallness of β and β' (see Table 1) , and basically are due to powers of small wavelengths ($\lambda \sim 0.5 \ \mu m$) at coefficients β and β'. Therefore for estimations of $\langle B_\lambda \rangle$ (term $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$) can be used the simple formula:

$$\Phi_\lambda^{(0)} H_\lambda^{(0)} \simeq 10 H_\lambda^{(0)} + 5\lambda \frac{\partial H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 H_\lambda^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda^2}. \tag{39}$$

The term with b_λ can be simplified accordingly. The sense of these simplifications consists of conclusion, that to obtain the estimates in Eq. (18), one can take out of the integrals the differentiations over wavelength. Apparently, this can be used in other cases, if the absorbtion coefficients change slowly in the range of considering spectrum, and if the spectrum itself has fairly smooth form. In this case one can introduce the large-scale differentiation over wavelengths for spectrum itself, and use the averaged absorbtion factor.

In Table 3 we presented the values of functions $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$ and Φ_λ for stars α PsA and β Ari, calculated from homogeneous catalog (Alekseeva et al. 1996). The tables of star magnitudes

m_λ in this catalog are presented with the interval $\Delta\lambda = 0.0025$ μm. The first star is of the class A3V with $T_e \simeq 8300$ K, and the second one is of the class A5V with $T_e \simeq 8500$ K. Spectra of these stars does not determined by absorbtion in negative ion H^-. To receive the esimates of temperature fluctuations influences we used the absorbtion coefficients in continuum given in (Allen 1973). We used the parabolic interpolation of data from this book for intervals $\lambda = 0.4, 0.5, 0.8$ μm and for $\Theta = 5040/T = 0.4, 0.5, 0.6$ at the value of electron pressure $\lg P_e = 1$. As a result, we derived the formula:

$$\alpha_\lambda(\Theta) = 10^{C(\lambda,\Theta)},$$

$$C(\lambda,\Theta) = \frac{25}{3}[\lambda^2(20\Theta^2 - 21\Theta + 5.3)+$$
$$\lambda(-24\Theta^2 + 25.5\Theta - 6.33) + (5.8\Theta^2 - 6.18\Theta + 1.468)]. \qquad (40)$$

Taking into account that λ - dependence in this expression is fairly smooth, we used for estimations the mentioned above (see Eq. (39) and so on) simple expressions.

The negative corrections Φ_λ denote that the temperature (see the notions (36) and (37)) is less compared with the temperature obtained from photosphere models, which do not take into account the temperature fluctuations. Especially important conclusion from our estimates is that most considerable corrections to $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle$ are due to terms with $b_\lambda(T)$ (see Eq. (10)). These terms give rise to negative corrections whereas the contribution of $\langle B_\lambda(T)\rangle$ gives positive corrections. The contribution of terms with b_λ is determined by the average of product of first temperature derivative from absorbtion factor and from the Planck function, i.e. most important linear expansions over T' are averaged.

It is of interest to note that observed Sun's spectrum in ultraviolet region is lower than that determined by accepted effective temperature (Stix 1991; Chance & Kurucz 2010). Usually this effect is related with influence of absorbtion of metals. However, the possible contribution of temperature fluctuations is also not eliminated. Though this mechanism is not studied in detail, but preliminary we can note that the calculations of source function of new transfer equation (4) in ultraviolet region demonstrate the strong difference from the usual Planck's function.

The estimates (37) for the Sun at $\lambda = 0.55$ μm and $T_e \simeq 5770$ K give $\Delta T \simeq -(2.8\div4)\eta^2\cdot 9.8T_e \simeq -(2.8\div4)\cdot 12470\eta^2$, i.e. $\Delta T \simeq -(31\div45)$ K for $\eta = 0.03$, and $\Delta T \simeq -(87\div125)$ K for $\eta = 0.05$. In percents these estimates are $(0.5\div0.8)\%$ and $(1.5\div2.1)\%$ of T_e, correspondingly.

These estimates are given for $\lambda \sim 0.55$ μm and practically do not depend on low changes in taking $T_0 \sim T_e$. Note, that to estimate the change in effective temperature of a star, one has calculate the change of all the photosphere spectrum in the range of all wavelengths.

5. Influence of temperature fluctuations on color indices of radiating objects

The color index of observing objects is characterized by the difference of radiation fluxes between standard wavelength intervals. Usually the observed fluxes F_λ correspond to standard wavelength intervals near the central wavelengths ($\lambda_U = 0.36\mu$m, $\lambda_B = 0.44\mu$m, $\lambda_V = 0.55\mu$m, $\lambda_R = 0.7\mu$m). For example, the color index $U - B$ is determined according to formula:

$$U^{(0)} - B^{(0)} = -2.5 \lg \frac{F_U^{(0)}}{F_B^{(0)}} + Const. \tag{41}$$

The value $Const$ is taken from the reasons of convenience. Frequently one takes $Const = 0$ for stars of A0V-type.

Usually one considers that fluxes $F_\lambda^{(0)} = B_\lambda(T_0)$, where temperature T_0 on an average describes the observed part of spectrum. This relation formally follows from usual transfer equation at supposition that the source of radiation $B_\lambda(T_0)$ is independent of optical depth in radiating region. The radiating objects can have the fluctuating temperature. In this case the source is described by the formula $\langle\alpha_\lambda(T)B_\lambda(T)\rangle/\langle B_\lambda(T)\rangle \equiv S_\lambda(T_0,\eta)/\langle B_\lambda(T)\rangle$ (see Eqs. (4) and (5)).

As we know, in this case the flux $\langle H_\lambda(\eta)\rangle \equiv \langle F_\lambda\rangle$ depends on the degree of temperature fluctuations η. Assuming that this effective source is independent of optical depth, we obtain the expression $\langle F_\lambda\rangle = S_\lambda(T_0,\eta)/\langle B_\lambda(T)\rangle$. This means that the color indices $\langle U\rangle - \langle B\rangle$ and so on depend on degree of fluctuations η. As usually, we restrict ourselves to low fluctuations $\eta^2 \ll 1$ and instead of Eq. (41) obtain the relation:

$$\langle U\rangle - \langle B\rangle = U^{(0)} - B^{(0)} + \eta^2 \Delta(U - B), \tag{42}$$

where $\Delta(U - B)$ is expressed by the formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(U - B) &= -2.5(K_{\lambda_U} - K_{\lambda_B}),\\ K_\lambda &= \frac{T_0^2}{2B_\lambda(T_0)} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 B_\lambda(T_0)}{\partial T_0^2} + \frac{T_0}{B_\lambda(T_0)} \frac{\partial B_\lambda(T_0)}{\partial T_0} \cdot b_\lambda(T_0)\\ &\equiv K_\lambda^{(0)} + K_\lambda^{(1)}\, b_\lambda(T_0).\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Analogous formulas characterize the color indices $B-V$ and $V-R$. The numerical values for coefficients $K_\lambda^{(0)}(T_0)$ and $K_\lambda^{(1)}(T_0)$ are presented in Table 4 for wavelengths $\lambda = 0.36; 0.44; 0.55$

and 0.7 μm. Note, that these factors are positive. At growing of wavelengths these coefficients diminish.

It is seen from Eq.(43) that the color indices strongly depend on specific form of absorbtion coefficients. This is seen clearly from the example, when we use the absorbtion factor (25) for bound - free transitions in negative hydrogen ions. In this case $b_\lambda(T_0)$ has the form (26) with $\gamma = -1.5$ and $g_1 = -8700$. The term $K_\lambda^{(0)}$ describes the influence of temperature fluctuations without contribution of absorbtion factor. This term is positive, as it was mentioned earlier. The expressions $\Delta(U - B), \Delta(B - V)$, and $\Delta(V - R)$ with allowance for only $K_\lambda^{(0)}$ - term are negative for all mean temperatures T_0. The consideration of absorbtion coefficients gives rise to the result that the total coefficient $K_\lambda^{(0)} + K_\lambda^{(1)}\, b_\lambda(T_0)$ strongly differs from the term $K_\lambda^{(0)}$ (see Table 5). In Table 5 we also present the values of $\Delta(U - B)$ and so on, which take into account both coefficients - $K_\lambda^{(0)}$ and $K_\lambda^{(1)}$.

At comparatively low temperatures the correction to color indices is negative. Then, with the grow of the temperature, this correction acquires positive sign. Most high the influence of temperature fluctuation is in color index $U - B$, i.e. for small wavelengths. It seems, that in active galactic nuclei the temperature fluctuations may be high. In these cases the consideration of fluctuations can change the color indices considerably. Besides, at introduction of color indices would be useful, though roughly, take into account the temperature gradient near the surface of emitting object, for example, using the mentioned approximate formula in (Sobolev 1969). It appears the inverse problem of estimation of degree of temperature fluctuations η is simpler from consideration of color indices of cosmic objects with like physical conditions.

6. Conclusion

Let us present short discussion of obtained results. The existence of stochastic processes in photospheres of stars, active galactic nuclei etc., which arises due to convective and turbulent motions, generation and evolution of magnetic fields, give rise to stochastic behavior of temperature, intensity of radiation and absorbtion coefficients. These stochastic processes determine the mean radiation flux $\langle H_\lambda \rangle$. This flux differs from the flux $H_\lambda^{(0)}$, which takes into account only dependence of all values from mean temperature T_0 and is independent of temperature fluctuations T'. The numerous models of photospheres determine the mean temperature as a function of distance from the photosphere's surface.

Assuming the degree of temperature fluctuations $\eta = \sqrt{\langle T'^2 \rangle}/T_0$ as a low value, we obtain the relation between the observed flux $\langle H_\lambda \rangle$ and model flux $H_\lambda^{(0)}$. The difference between these fluxes is proportional to η^2 and can assume both positive and negative values. This is determined by the specific form of absorbtion coefficient. In Wien's limit this difference can be estimated from the observed spectrum, because it depends of the first and the second derivatives over wavelength, which can be calculated numerically directly from the observed spectrum and is independent of specific choice of photosphere model.

We calculated this difference of fluxes for the Sun, and solar type stars (β Hyi, μ Vela and ι Per) for $\lambda = 0.55\mu$m and $\lambda = 0.6\mu$m, using the homogeneous Pulkovo spectrophotometric catalog (see Alekseeva et al. 1996). Also we obtain the corresponding estimates for stars α PsA and β Ari.

These estimates demonstrate that the most high correction is due to mean value of product of first temperature derivatives of the absorbtion coefficient and the Planck function. The estimations show that the difference of spectra $\langle H_\lambda \rangle$ and $H_\lambda^{(0)}$ is fairly high and can be used for correction of model results, especially in ultraviolet region of spectra. We also described the influence of temperature fluctuations on the color indices. It appears these corrections can be high, especially for active galactic nuclei.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the program of Prezidium of RAS - 21, the program of the Department of Physical Sciences of RAS - 16, by the Federal Target program " Scientific and scientific- pedagogical personnel of innovative Russia" 2009 -2013 and the Grant from President of the Russian Federation "The basic Scientific Schools" NSh-1625.2012.2.

Authors are very grateful to anonymous referee for very useful remarks.

REFERENCES

Stix, M. 1991, The Sun. An Introduction, Springer: Berlin

Levshakov, S. A., Kegel, W. H. 1997, MNRAS, 288, 787

Alekseeva G. A., Arkharov, A. A., Galkin V. et al., 1996, The Pulkovo spectrophotometric catalog of bright stars in the range from 320 nm to 1080 nm, Baltic Astronomy, v. 5, number 4, pp. 603-838, v. 6 (Supplement), pp. 481-496 (1997).

Silant'ev N. A., Alexeeva G. A. 2008, A&A, 479, 207

Sobolev, V. V., 1969, Course in Theoretical Astrophysics, National Aeronautic and Space Administration, NASA technical translation, NASA TT F-531

Gray, D. F., 1976, The observation and analysis of stellar photospheres, John Wiley and Sons: N.Y.

Silant'ev, N. A. 2005, A&A, 433, 1117

Chandrasekhar, S., 1950, Radiative transfer, Clarendon Press: Oxford

Kurucz, R., Peytremann, E., Avrett, E., 1974, Blanketed Model Atmospheres of Early-Type Stars, Washington, D.C. : Smithsonian Institution

Chance, K., Kurucz, R. 2010, J. Quant. Spec. Radiat. Transf., 111, 1289

Allen, C. W., 1973, Astrophysical quantities, University of London, The Athlone Press

---

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Table 1: Functions $\beta(\lambda)$ and $\partial\beta(\lambda)/\partial\lambda$ for absorbtion factor $\alpha_\lambda(H^-)$.

λ, μm	0.2	0.25	0.3	0.35	0.4	0.45	0.5	0.55	0.6	0.65	0.7
β	3.5	2.4	1.7	1.28	1	0.76	0.58	0.41	0.3	0.22	0.15
$\partial\beta/\partial\lambda$	-28	-18	-11	-6.8	-5.3	-4.25	-3.5	-2.7	-2.0	-1.5	-1.25

Table 2: Functions $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$ and Φ_λ for the solar type stars.

	the Sun		β Hyi		μ Vel		ι Per	
$\lambda = 0.55\mu$m	4.3	-9.8	3.6	-23.6	7.9	-12.9	5.9	-18.0
$\lambda = 0.6\mu$m	2.7	-10.2	3.3	-26.4	3.9	-14.4	5.6	-20.1

Table 3: Functions $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$ and Φ_λ for spectra of α PsA and β Ari.

λ, μm	0.45	0.47	0.50	0.55	0.60
α PsA, $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$	1.7	1.4	1.0	0.4	0.0
α PsA, Φ_λ	-10.2	-11.3	-12.2	-13.5	-13.4
β Ari, $\Phi_\lambda^{(0)}$	3.1	2.8	1.9	1.4	0.9
β Ari, Φ_λ	-8.6	-9.2	-10.8	-11.0	-11.1

Table 4: The values of $K_\lambda^{(0)}(T_0)$ and $K_\lambda^{(1)}(T_0)$.

$T_0,\ K$	$4{\cdot}10^3$	$5{\cdot}10^3$	$6{\cdot}10^3$	$7{\cdot}10^3$	$8{\cdot}10^3$	$9{\cdot}10^3$	$10{\cdot}10^3$	$12{\cdot}10^3$	$14{\cdot}10^3$	$16{\cdot}10^3$	$18{\cdot}10^3$
$K_{\lambda_U}^{(0)}$	39.93	23.98	15.60	10.73	7.71	5.72	4.37	2.73	1.82	1.29	0.95
$K_{\lambda_U}^{(1)}$	9.99	8.00	6.67	5.73	5.03	4.49	4.07	3.45	3.03	2.72	2.49
$K_{\lambda_B}^{(0)}$	25.27	14.93	9.57	6.51	4.63	3.42	2.60	1.61	1.08	0.77	0.57
$K_{\lambda_B}^{(1)}$	8.18	6.55	5.47	4.72	4.16	3.73	3.40	2.92	2.59	2.35	2.17
$K_{\lambda_V}^{(0)}$	14.93	8.65	5.46	3.67	2.60	1.91	1.45	0.90	0.61	0.43	0.32
$K_{\lambda_V}^{(1)}$	6.55	5.26	4.42	3.83	3.40	3.07	2.82	2.46	2.21	2.03	1.90
$K_{\lambda_R}^{(0)}$	8.27	4.70	2.93	1.96	1.38	1.02	0.78	0.49	0.33	0.24	0.18
$K_{\lambda_R}^{(1)}$	5.17	4.18	3.54	3.10	2.78	2.54	2.36	2.09	1.91	1.78	1.68

Table 5: Various functions for bound-free transitions in negative hydrogen ions.

T_0, K	$4{\cdot}10^3$	$5{\cdot}10^3$	$6{\cdot}10^3$	$7{\cdot}10^3$	$8{\cdot}10^3$	$9{\cdot}10^3$	$10{\cdot}10^3$	$12{\cdot}10^3$
K_{λ_U}	3.21	-1.92	-4.08	-4.98	-5.31	-5.36	-5.28	-4.96
K_{λ_B}	-4.79	-6.29	-6.57	-6.43	-6.12	-5.79	-5.46	-4.87
K_{λ_V}	-9.14	-8.40	-7.57	-6.83	-6.20	-5.67	-5.24	-4.56
K_{λ_R}	-10.73	-8.84	-7.51	-6.54	-5.81	-5.25	-4.81	-4.16
$\Delta(U-B)$	-20.00	-10.94	-6.24	-3.62	-2.04	-1.06	-0.45	0.21
$\Delta(B-V)$	-10.88	-5.26	-2.49	-1.00	-0.18	0.29	0.55	0.77
$\Delta(V-R)$	-3.97	-1.12	0.14	0.71	0.96	1.05	1.07	1.01